

## United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
United States Patent and Trademark Office
Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS
P.O. Box 1450
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450
www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO. FILING DATE FIRST NAMED INVENTOR ATTORNEY DOCKET NO. CONFIRMATION NO. 10/635,130 08/06/2003 Klaus B.W. Blume 60,130-1829 8416 (00MRA373,382 26096 **EXAMINER** 7590 09/20/2005 CARLSON, GASKEY & OLDS, P.C. STRIMBU, GREGORY J 400 WEST MAPLE ROAD ART UNIT PAPER NUMBER **SUITE 350** BIRMINGHAM, MI 48009 3634

DATE MAILED: 09/20/2005

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

## Advisory Action Before the Filing of an Appeal Brief

Application No.	Applicant(s)	1
10/635,130	BLUME ET AL.	
Examiner	Art Unit	
Gregory J. Strimbu	3634	

	Gregory J. Strimbu	3634		
The MAILING DATE of this communication appe	ars on the cover sheet with the d	orrespondence add	ress	
THE REPLY FILED 13 September 2005 FAILS TO PLACE THIS APPLICATION IN CONDITION FOR ALLOWANCE.				
1.   The reply was filed after a final rejection, but prior to or on this application, applicant must timely file one of the follow places the application in condition for allowance; (2) a Notal Request for Continued Examination (RCE) in compliance time periods:	ving replies: (1) an amendment, aff tice of Appeal (with appeal fee) in (	idavit, or other evider compliance with 37 C	nce, which FR 41.31; or (3)	
a) The period for reply expires 3 months from the mailing date	of the final rejection.			
b) The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this A no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire to	dvisory Action, or (2) the date set forth ater than SIX MONTHS from the mailing	g date of the final rejecti	on.	
Examiner Note: If box 1 is checked, check either box (a) or TWO MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP 7		E FINOT NEFET WAS F	ILED WITHIN	
Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The date have been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of exunder 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the set forth in (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office later may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b)	tension and the corresponding amount shortened statutory period for reply orig than three months after the mailing da	of the fee. The appropr inally set in the final Offi	iate extension fee ce action; or (2) as	
NOTICE OF APPEAL  2. The Notice of Appeal was filed on A brief in comp filing the Notice of Appeal (37 CFR 41.37(a)), or any exte a Notice of Appeal has been filed, any reply must be filed	nsion thereof (37 CFR 41.37(e)), to	avoid dismissal of th		
<u>AMENDMENTS</u>				
<ol> <li>The proposed amendment(s) filed after a final rejection,</li> <li>They raise new issues that would require further co</li> <li>They raise the issue of new matter (see NOTE belo</li> </ol>	nsideration and/or search (see NO w);	TE below);		
(c) They are not deemed to place the application in being appeal; and/or			the issues for	
(d) They present additional claims without canceling a		ected claims.		
NOTE: (See 37 CFR 1.116 and 41.33(a)).				
4. The amendments are not in compliance with 37 CFR 1.1		impliant Amendment	(PTOL-324).	
5. Mapplicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s): 6. Mewly proposed or amended claim(s) would be allowable if submitted in a separate, timely filed amendment canceling the				
non-allowable claim(s).	lowable ii submitted in a separate,	umery med amendme	ant canceling the	
7. For purposes of appeal, the proposed amendment(s): a) how the new or amended claims would be rejected is pro-		ll be entered <del>and an c</del>	explanation of	
The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows:				
Claim(s) allowed: Claim(s) objected to:				
Claim(s) rejected: 21,24-29,41 and 42.				
Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration: 22,23 and 30-40.				
AFFIDAVIT OR OTHER EVIDENCE				
B.  The affidavit or other evidence filed after a final action, but because applicant failed to provide a showing of good an was not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 1.116(e).				
<ol> <li>The affidavit or other evidence filed after the date of filing entered because the affidavit or other evidence failed to showing a good and sufficient reasons why it is necessar</li> </ol>	overcome all rejections under appe	al and/or appellant fa	ils to provide a	
10. ☐ The affidavit or other evidence is entered. An explanatio REQUEST FOR RECONSIDERATION/OTHER	•			
11.  The request for reconsideration has been considered but	t does NOT place the application in	n condition for allowa	nce because:	
12. Note the attached Information Disclosure Statement(s). (PTO/SB/08 or PTO-1449) Paper No(s)				
	1	GREGORY J PRIMARY E		
	J.	/	1	

U.S. Patent and Trademark Office PTOL-303 (Rev. 7-05)

Advisory Action Before the Filing of an Appeal Brief

Part of Paper No. 20050916

Continuation of 13. Other: The substitute specification filed January 7, 2005 has been entered.